Quality Assurance in Flanders	
Nina Mares  Department of Education and Training	
Division for higher and adult education	
Quality assurance system 1991-2006	
1st level: internal quality assurance and a self-	
evaluation report	
2 <sup>nd</sup> level: external quality assurance: assessment panel- peers-public report; experts appointed by the rectors'	
conference; no formal framework  • 3 <sup>rd</sup> level: weak meta-evaluation – Flemish Government	
Until 2001 no quantified judgements	
<ul> <li>Focus on quality enhancement but no formal follow-up</li> <li>No legal consequences for institutions</li> </ul>	
Department of Education and Training Division for higher and adult education	
	1
Accreditation system 2006-2013	
1st level: internal quality assurance – self evaluation	
report  • 2 <sup>nd</sup> level: external quality evaluation by a peer review	
group appointed by the rectors' conference (but EQAR –registered) – formal accreditation framework	
approved by the government  • 3 <sup>rd</sup> level: NVAO- accreditation based on a public	
assessment report (subject review) – accreditation decision Yes or No  • More focus on accountability	
Legal consequences for funding and degree-awarding	
power	

### New accreditation system 2013-2021

- Programme accreditation
- Institutional review: review of HEI educational policy plus trials in departments or specific policy issues
- Review commission appointed by the NVAO
- 1st review round: 2015-2016: public report, no legal consequences
- 2<sup>nd</sup> review round 2019-2020: legal consequences (in ultimo: the closure of the institution)

Department of Education and Training

### New accreditation system 2013-2021

- 1<sup>st</sup> level: internal quality assurance self evaluation report
- 2<sup>nd</sup> level: external quality evaluation by a peer review group appointed by an evaluation agency
- 3<sup>rd</sup> level: NVAO- accreditation based on a public assessment report – accreditation decision Yes or No

Department of Education and Training Division for higher and adult education

#### New accreditation system 2013-2021

- Standards for programme accreditation:
  - Intended learning outcomes that meet the international requirements set by the professional field or by the discipline;
  - <u>Teaching-learning environment</u>: the curriculum (programme specifications), staff, services and facilities enabling students to achieve the learning outcomes;
  - Assessment and achieved learning outcomes: demonstrated by final tests, final projects and the achievements of graduates in postgraduate programmes and on the labour market.

Division for higher and adult education

	1
Judgements	
Judgements	
The judgements are weighted and substantiated:	
– Excellent	
– Good	
– Sufficient	
<ul><li>Unsatisfactory</li></ul>	
Department of Education and Training	
Division for higher and adult education	
Standards Institutional review	
Standards institutional review	
<ul> <li>The vision of the HEI on HE and the policy objectives and targets: policy issues: internationalisation,</li> </ul>	
employability, social dimension, LLL, sustainable development,	
The <u>policy processes</u> and <u>instruments</u> in place to realize its policy objectives in an effective way and to	
improve the quality of teaching & learning;	
<ul> <li>The <u>feedback and monitoring systems</u> in particular the internal QA system</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The <u>actions taken</u> to realize the quality improvement and the capacity to change and innovate</li> </ul>	
Department of Education and Training Division for higher and adult education	
Relation IR and programme accreditation	
If the second IR is concluded 'sufficient' the	
programme can be accredited on the basis of an internal assessment of the quality: the HEI has to	
demonstrate that the programme is meeting the quality standards; no formal external quality	
evaluation; the involvement of international experts is required as well as an international	
benchmark	

#### livision for higher and adult education

• The role of the accreditation agency is limited to a verification whether the internal assessment

was valid or not

•	Flemish system: 2 steps after self-evaluation
	report
	visitation committee (coordinated by gual

- visitation committee (coordinated by quality assessment agency)
- -accreditation decision by NVAO

Department of Education and Trainin

### Quality assessment agency

- Organisation of the external assessment of the programmes
- Establishment of an assessment protocol (together with the accreditation organisation)
- Assigned by decree: QA unit of Council of Flemish HEI's (Vlhur) ...

Department of Education and Training Division for higher and adult education

### Quality assessment agency

- ... but HEI's can choose another quality assessment agency:
- Agency must be EQAR-registered or recognised by NVAO
- Possible in 2 cases :
  - International comparability of the programme with other programmes in institutions abroad
  - Match between expertise of the foreign agency and the specific content of the programme

Division for higher and adult education

_			
•			
•			
•			
•			
•			
•			
•			

### Quality assessment agency

System is compromise:

- Government and HEI's wanted no monopoly of the Flemish assessment agency
- Flemish assessment agency was reserved because difficult to judge influence on their tasks if HEI's could choose totally free

Department of Education and Training

#### **NVAO**

- Assessment of application for accreditation: is the external assessment report according to the rules and complete:
  - Fulfils the format cq the assessment protocol
  - Contains assessment according accreditation framework
  - · Based on verifiable facts
  - Gives insight into the quality of the composition of assessment committee.
- Decision on accreditation

Department of Education and Training Division for higher and adult education

### Accreditation by foreign agency

- NVAO can award accreditation on basis of accreditation from different accreditation organisation
  - Accreditation is conducted according to a comparable methodological approach
  - -Length of accreditation remains

Division for higher and adult education

## Foreign EQAR-registered agencies

### Limited experiences:

- So far no foreign visitations
- Foreign accreditation decisions: for ex.
   management schools and veterinary education

Department of Education and Training

# Difficulties / questions

- 'Comparable methodological approach' needs to be used:
  - Presence of the 3 generic quality standards are present
  - Looking at 'Achieved learning outcomes' might be difficult (mostly focus on processes)
  - Student member of visitation committee
  - Visitation report has to be published
- Will HEI's choose for a foreign agency for visitation or for accreditation?
- What does 'accreditation' mean in other countries?

Department of Education and Training Division for higher and adult education